

Peer Review

Review of: "An Epistemological Analysis of Einsteinian Special Relativity: Do Physicists in Reality Use Lorentzian Ether Theory?"

Fabio Cardone¹

1. National Research Council (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, CNR), Italy

The paper is sufficiently correct in its analysis of the cinematics of Einsteinian mechanics. The epistemological analysis is a bit naive, even if well grounded in the historical literature, and there is a lack of any more recent authors, such as Ludwik Kostro, who studied the question of ether both in Einstein and in Lorentz, reaching the well-known historical conclusions that, in fact, Einstein did not negate the ether, since he performed two experiments to test it (see 'Subtle is the Lord' by A. Pais), but later identified this concept mathematically in the Minkowski space.

I suggest that the author revise his paper according to the epistemological results of more recent authors and update the references accordingly.

Prof. Fabio Cardone

CNR

(National Research Council of Italy)

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.