

Review of: "Compact, Consumer Off the Shelf Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems (COTS-RPAS) in Observing Haliastur indus, the Kali, or Brahminy Kites"

Alireza Sharifi¹

¹ Shahid Rajaei Teacher Training University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is indeed interesting, but it needs further scientific editing.

1. Abstract should be rewritten and some important numerical findings should be provided.
2. In the first paragraph of introduction, you can use the suggested references to enrich your study:

[10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3239756](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3239756)

[10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3188732](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3188732)

[10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3242310](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2023.3242310)

[10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3189528](https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2022.3189528)

[10.14358/PERS.83.1.41](https://doi.org/10.14358/PERS.83.1.41)

[10.1007/s12524-020-01155-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-020-01155-y)

[10.1080/2150704X.2018.1452058](https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2018.1452058)

3. The logical flow in the Introduction is not well-developed, and it is not clear for the readers what are the key knowledge gaps and objectives of the researchers to fill them.
4. Please be clear about the purpose of the manuscript and the research innovation aspects at the end of the introduction.
5. What are the limitations of this study?
6. The conclusion is justified, but it could be extended, highlighting the advantages of the proposed method and specifying exactly what is new?