

Research Article

Critical Research Thinking: A Recipe for Academic Writing Success and Publications

Joshua Ebere Chukwuere¹¹. North-West University, Potchefstroom, South Africa

This short study delves into the critical importance of research thinking in academic writing and publication success. Critical research thinking is essential for academic writing success and publications, enabling individuals to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information effectively. This study explores the significance of critical thinking in enhancing students' and academics' abilities to critically evaluate information, construct well-supported claims, and contribute meaningfully to the academic body of knowledge. By exploring key elements such as critical analysis, problem-solving, creativity, synthesis of information, ethical considerations, and communication skills, the study underscores the significance of honing critical thinking abilities in research endeavors. Through the development of critical research thinking skills, scholars and students can enhance their capacity to analyze data, evaluate information sources, and communicate research findings effectively. By integrating critical research thinking into the academic writing process, individuals can contribute meaningfully to the academic community, refine their research capabilities, and make informed decisions based on evidence and critical analysis.

Corresponding author: Joshua Ebere Chukwuere, joshchukwuere@gmail.com

Introduction

Research thinking is a fundamental aspect of academic scholarship that underpins the process of generating new knowledge, analyzing existing information, and contributing to the scholarly discourse. This section of the study sets the stage for exploring the critical components of research thinking, including critical analysis, problem-solving, creativity, synthesis of information, ethical considerations, and communication skills. By delving into the multifaceted nature of research thinking, this short study aims to elucidate the importance of honing critical thinking skills in academic writing and publication endeavors. Scholars and students alike are encouraged to cultivate their research thinking abilities to enhance their capacity to engage with data, literature, and research findings in a rigorous and impactful manner. Through a comprehensive exploration of research thinking, individuals can navigate the complexities of the academic landscape, make informed decisions, and contribute meaningfully to their respective fields of study. This introduction lays the foundation for a deeper understanding of the role of research thinking in academic success and underscores its significance in advancing knowledge and

scholarly pursuits. This short study provides a comprehensive overview of the essential role of research thinking in scholarly pursuits and emphasizes its transformative impact on academic excellence and knowledge advancement.

Background of the study

In the realm of academic scholarship, research thinking plays a pivotal role in shaping the quality and impact of scholarly work. The ability to critically analyze data, literature, and research findings is essential for advancing knowledge and making meaningful contributions to one's field of study in problem-solving. Problem-solving skills are equally crucial, as researchers must navigate research challenges, interpret results, and draw insightful conclusions from their data. Moreover, creativity and innovation are key drivers of research thinking, prompting scholars to think outside the box and generate novel ideas and methodologies. Synthesizing information from diverse sources is another hallmark of research thinking, enabling researchers to develop coherent arguments and theories.

Also, ethical considerations are paramount in research thinking, as scholars must navigate the ethical implications of their work and adhere to ethical standards in research design, data collection, and reporting. Effective communication skills are also integral to research thinking, as scholars must articulate their findings clearly and persuasively through various mediums such as written reports, presentations, and academic publications. By delving into the intricacies of research thinking, scholars can enhance their analytical abilities, deepen their understanding of research topics, and contribute meaningfully to the academic community. This study background sets the stage for a comprehensive exploration of research thinking and its transformative impact on academic writing and publication success.

Critical thinking

Critical thinking has become an important aspect of success in any endeavour. According to Wallmann and Hoover (2012), critical thinking is an important success factor in a health profession. The researcher believes that critical thinking is valuable in all disciplines for success. It enables one to be able to evaluate and analyze information in problem-solving. Critical thinking involves learning to think independently and formulating opinions supported by existing evidence, prompting an academic to become a self-reliant thinkers and researcher. However, writing research papers is identified as a common method for developing critical thinking skills, involving various levels of reasoning that become progressively more abstract and complex (Lin, Lin & Zhu, 2018; Wallmann & Hoover, 2012; Kurfiss, 1988).

Nonetheless, critical thinking is a crucial skill that involves analyzing, evaluating, and synthesizing information to make informed decisions and solve complex problems. In the context of scientific research, developing critical thinking skills is essential for academics and students to excel in their research writing and publication careers.

One key aspect of critical thinking is the ability to assess information critically. This involves questioning assumptions, evaluating evidence, and considering alternative perspectives. Wallmann and Hoover (2012) suggest that engaging students and academics in the scientific method, including formulating research questions, data collection, and statistical analysis, can enhance critical thinking and higher-level reasoning. Encouraging students and academics to participate in the manuscript submission process for publishing their research is seen as a way to promote critical thinking (DeNigris, Stanislaus & Sheets, 2020; McNair, Le Phuong, Cseri & Szekely, 2019; Wallmann & Hoover, 2012).

Research thinking

Academic research involves critical thinking skills in a systematic process toward producing scientific facts. As mentioned in this paper critical thinking is essential to academic writing success. Then, research thinking is a term that lies within the concept of “thinking” logically and constructively in discovering knowledge and solutions to address or add knowledge. According to Smith (2020), research thinking involves the application of critical thinking skills to the research process. Research thinking involves the ability of academic or student scholars to think critically in initiating research processes or ideas through objective thinking. The objective-thinking in the context of the paper deals with thinking that has a defined objective. According to this study, research thinking is a process of logical and systematic using critical thinking skills in thinking about research ideas and concepts with the ability to conceptualize ideas, analyze, evaluate, and summarise. The ability of the academic scholar to produce, identify, or discover a new idea or solution involves the ability to engage in a research thinking process.

Research thinking encompasses the ability to analyze, evaluate, and synthesize information gathered through research materials. By cultivating strong research thinking skills, researchers (lecturers and students) can conduct rigorous and impactful research, contribute to knowledge advancement in their field, and make informed decisions based on evidence and critical analysis. Smith (2020) outlined some key aspects of research thinking:

- a. **Formulation of question:** Research thinking begins with formulating clear and focused research questions. These questions guide the research process and help define the scope of the study.
- b. **Information gathering:** Research thinking involves identifying relevant sources of information, collecting data through various methods (such as surveys, interviews, or experiments), and critically evaluating the quality and reliability of the information gathered.
- c. **Critical analysis:** Research thinking requires the ability to critically analyze data, literature, and other research findings. This involves identifying patterns, inconsistencies, and gaps in existing knowledge.
- d. **Problem-solving:** Researchers engage in problem-solving by applying critical and analytical skills to address research challenges, interpret results, and draw meaningful conclusions from data.

- e. **Creativity and innovation:** Research thinking involves thinking creatively to generate new ideas, approaches, or research methodologies. Researchers often need to think outside the box to make novel contributions to their field.
- f. **Synthesis of information:** Researchers synthesize information from various sources to develop coherent arguments, theories, or research hypotheses. This process involves integrating diverse perspectives and findings into a unified framework.
- g. **Ethical considerations:** Research thinking includes ethical considerations related to research design, data collection, and reporting. Researchers (lecturers or students) must critically evaluate the ethical implications of their work and adhere to ethical standards.
- h. **Communication skills:** Effective research thinking also involves the ability to communicate research findings clearly and persuasively through written reports, presentations, and academic publications.

Critical research thinking (CRT)

Critical thinking goes beyond normal thinking. It is a skill that students and academics can develop to excel in their writing and publication process. It involves the ability to analyze and evaluate existing information to inform decision-making. Critical research thinking (CRT) engages a conceptualization of a topic and research objective, in-depth searching, analyzing, evaluating, and discovering of new insights in existing academic material to inform the decision-making process in solving real-life problems. CRT is an important aspect of the academic writing process that enables a scholar to engage in a deep knowledge search to discover solutions in solving practical issues. For example, a scholar's ability to publish quality publications involves the process of searching through existing academic content in conceptualizing a researchable topic and objective, searching materials, and analyzing and evaluating toward to discovery of a solution.

The benefits of critical research thinking

Critical research thinking offers numerous benefits to students and even academics in academic writing. For example, by engaging in critical thinking, students and even academics can enhance their ability to analyze information, evaluate arguments, and construct well-supported claims (Tahira & Haider, 2019). This process not only improves their writing skills but also fosters a deeper understanding of the research topic and objective with the ability to conceptualize and analyze a given piece of academic document. Additionally, critical research thinking encourages students and academics to question assumptions, identify important relationships, evaluate evidence, and draw informed conclusions. These skills are essential for academic success and can also be transferred to other areas of life, making individuals more active participants in society (Tahira & Haider, 2019).

Moreover, developing critical research thinking skills can lead to increased confidence and autonomy in learning and writing. Encouraging students and academics to argue and question, can help them gain a sense of control

over their education even academic writing and research, ultimately enhancing their understanding of their research interest (Tahira & Haider, 2019). Through critical research thinking, students and academics can effectively communicate their ideas, engage with complex concepts, and contribute meaningfully to the academic body of knowledge and discussions. Incorporating critical research thinking into the academic writing process can also help academic scholars adapt to the expectations of the academic community in contributing meaningfully. By familiarizing students and academics with critical research thinking, higher education institutions can provide them with the necessary tools and pieces of training to increase their skills to meet higher standards of academic research writing toward publications.

How to develop critical research thinking

Developing critical research thinking involves honing your ability to analyze, evaluate, and interpret information effectively. By practicing these strategies and approaches, a scholar including students can cultivate critical research thinking skills and become a more effective and discerning researcher. Here are some key steps to enhance your critical research-thinking skills (Iyer, 2019; Kurfiss, 1988):

- a. **Ask thoughtful questions:** Start by asking relevant and probing questions about the research topic. This helps you focus your inquiry (question) and identify key areas for exploration or investigation.
- b. **Evaluate information sources:** Critically assess the credibility, reliability, and relevance of the sources you use in your research. Consider the author's expertise, the publication date, and the source's reputation.
- c. **Analyze information and interpret data:** Break down complex information into smaller parts to understand the underlying concepts and arguments. Look for patterns, connections, inconsistencies, and discrepancies in the data.
- d. **Consider multiple perspectives:** Engage with diverse viewpoints and consider alternative interpretations of the research findings. Also, challenge personal assumptions and consider others' viewpoints on conceptualized research topics. This helps one develop a more comprehensive understanding of the topic.
- e. **Apply logic and reasoning:** Use logical reasoning to evaluate arguments, identify assumptions, and detect fallacies in the research. Identify logical fallacies, unsupported claims, and gaps in reasoning. Ensure that your conclusions are based on sound evidence and reasoning.
- f. **Synthesize information:** Integrate information from various sources to develop a coherent and well-supported argument. Synthesis involves combining ideas to create new insights or perspectives.
- g. **Reflect on your thinking:** Take time to reflect on your research process and decision-making. Consider how your biases, assumptions, and prior knowledge may have influenced your analysis.
- h. **Communicate clearly:** Practice articulating your thoughts and findings clearly and concisely. Effective communication is essential for sharing your research insights with others.

- i. **Seek feedback:** Share your research findings and interpretations with peers, mentors, or experts in the field.

Constructive feedback can help you refine your critical thinking skills and enhance the quality of your research.

Conclusion

This study highlights the essential role of critical research thinking in academic writing success and publications. By emphasizing the importance of critical analysis, problem-solving, creativity, synthesis of information, ethical considerations, and communication skills, the study underscores the significance of honing critical thinking skills in research endeavors. Through the development of critical research thinking abilities, scholars and students can enhance their capacity to analyze data, evaluate information sources, and communicate research findings effectively. This comprehensive approach to research thinking not only improves writing skills but also fosters a deeper understanding of research topics, encourages the questioning of assumptions, and promotes the evaluation of evidence from diverse perspectives. By integrating critical research thinking into the academic writing process, individuals can contribute meaningfully to the academic community, enhance their research capabilities, and make informed decisions based on sound evidence and reasoning. Overall, the study emphasizes the transformative impact of critical research thinking on scholarly pursuits and underscores its pivotal role in advancing knowledge and academic excellence.

References

- DeNigris, D., Stanislaus, S., & Sheets, K. (2020). Why Your Students Should Publish in Undergraduate Journals: Benefits of Engaging Students in the Research Process. *Copyright and other legal notices*, 470.
- Iyer, L. (2019). Critical thinking and its importance in education. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/339433132_Critical_Thinking_and_its_Importance_in_Education
- Kurfiss, J. G. (1988). *Critical Thinking: Theory, Research, Practice, and Possibilities*. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Report No. 2, 1988. ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Reports, The George Washington University, One Dupont Circle, Suite 630, Dept. RC, Washington, DC 20036-1183.
- Lin, Y., Lin, Y., & Zhu. (2018). *Developing critical thinking in EFL classes* (pp. 19-23). Singapore: Springer.
- McNair, R., Le Phuong, H. A., Cseri, L., & Szekely, G. (2019). Peer review of manuscripts: A valuable yet neglected educational tool for early-career researchers. *Education Research Intern*
- Smith, M. (2020). Is critical thinking really critical? A research study of the intentional planning for the teaching of critical thinking in the middle grades.
- Tahira, M., & Haider, G. (2019). The role of critical thinking in academic writing: An investigation of EFL students' perceptions and writing experiences. *International Online Journal of Primary Education*, 8(1), 1-30.

- Wallmann, H. W., & Hoover, D. L. (2012). Research and critical thinking: An important link for exercise science students transitioning to physical therapy. *International journal of exercise science*, 5(2), 93.
- Wolcott, S. K., Baril, C. P., Cunningham, B. M., Fordham, D. R., & Pierre, K. S. (2002). Critical thought on critical thinking research. *Journal of Accounting Education*, 20(2), 85–103.

Declarations

Funding: No specific funding was received for this work.

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.