

Review of: "[Review Article] Nanocarriers for Protein and Peptide Drug Delivery"

Masoud Salavati-Niasari¹

¹ University of Kashan

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript entitled "**Nanocarriers for Protein and Peptide Drug Delivery**" was reviewed. The review highlights the advancements in structure-based nanocarriers for protein and peptide drug delivery. This manuscript can be accepted for publishing but I have some major remarks before it can be publishable.

1. The title is informative and relevant, it could be more specific.
2. English grammar errors should be eliminated throughout the manuscript. A thorough checking from a native English writes would be a good idea.
3. What the main significance of paper in comparison is of relates published works?
4. Write the novelty of the work clearly in the introduction section. If your paper is a review paper, highlight all the previous reviews done.
5. The whole generalization for this paper should be given in the introduction. I have read and evaluated the manuscript and in my opinion the submission does not yet sufficiently justify publication. Discuss the shortcomings of previous work and the gaps and how this work intends to fill those gaps. Related references should be cited:
 - Advances in Colloid and Interface Science, 265 (2019) 29-44.
 - Journal of Colloid and Interface Science, 613 (2022) 1-14.
 - Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 178 (2021) 113017.
 - Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy, 153 (2022) 113369.
 - Journal of Alloys and Compounds, 849 (2020) 156604.
6. The authors should write the manuscript in-depth step by step. Every data should have a discussion and conclusion beyond simple description. Explain about the effective parameters which increase the yield of reactions?
7. The structure of the manuscript might need a major adjustment for a better understanding.
8. In the literature review, please use more recent works.

