

# Review of: "Investigation of the effects of wet cupping therapy on some inflammatory factors in patients affected by non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): A quasi-experimental trial study with self-controls."

Ahmed Torad

Potential competing interests: The author(s) declared that no potential competing interests exist.

The article is discussing a very crucial topic and i think it will be beneficial to establish more research despite its weak design and small sample size.

In the abstract section, you should mention that the assessment done after each treatment because before and after interventions is so confusing to the reader as he may think you only assess 2 times in the whole duration of the study.

In the introduction section, in the last paragraph,

Do you mean that you used an alternative hypothesis in this study?

When analyzing data, what type of statistical hypothesis you use?

In materials and methods: in Subjects:

How do you make randomization in this study?

What about sample size?

Where is the flowchart of the study?

In materials and methods: in wet cupping procedures:

You should mention the reference that supports using this technique as there are many techniques that you may use in wet cupping.

In Results

There is no need to mention your raw data in the results. You may include it as an appendix