

# Review of: "Students' perceptions of e-participation in social media, citizen mobilisation and engagement: Evidence from Papua New Guinea, India, and Zimbabwe"

David Caballero Mariscal<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidad de Granada

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- There is a relevant relation between the main aspect this research describe and the scope of tihs Journal. This may become a relevant research in this field of knowledge. That is why i consider this work as a good research to be published in this case.

- Concerning the abstract , I find it is a correct abstract that summarize the main aspects ot this research. Anyway, I recommend to go over it order at the end of this revision.

- The keywords are significant and they also take up the main concepts.

\* If the article is concerned with research activity, has a sound methodology been utilised and described?

The research interest is laid out clearly. The research approach and method are transparent. Still, I miss explizit research questions. I highly recommend to expand this section and to explain better the methodology (including a deeper explination of the instrument that the authors have implemented). Moreover, the first part ot this paper needs to be reviewed and to be supported on citing literature (despite it describes a case study).

-As far as the literature review section is concerned, this is the weakest section of the paper. Authors should go this section to rewrite (complete) in a proper way.

Authors should go over this section in order to improve it

- Please discuss the implications your research has for pertinent stakeholders.

- English language editing is recommended. The paper needs to be edited . There are some awkward sentences throughout the text. The paper needs to be professionally edited, despite it is well written. There are many awkward sentences throughout the text

#### CONFIDENTIAL COMMENTS FOR THE EDITOR:

1. A better definition of the main objectives it is required. The main focus is clear, but it should be subdivided into specific and concrete sub-objectives. It should be necessary :

- To write a literature section. Main concepts should be explained. Some relevant studies should be also included, supported on quotes and citing literature. Moreover, this section should be linked to the conclusions. In this last section, authors must expand the conclusions section.

- . Authors should expand methodology. The research interest is laid out clearly. The research approach and method are transparent. Still, I miss explicit research questions and a btter explanation of the instruments.

- I recommend to go over the references.



