

Peer Review

Review of: "Will You Tell? Factors Influencing Peer Reporting of Illicit Substance Use: A Mixed-Methods Study"

Augusto Pérez-Gómez¹

¹. Independent researcher

This work could be qualified as a pilot study. Its methodology is good, the questions investigated are sound and potentially very useful for policy decisions. But some decisions are strange: why calculate a sample ($n= 57$) when the universe is 60 people? And why undertake the analysis with relatively sophisticated statistics when nothing can be concluded, except for this particular institution, which is very small? My suggestion is that the authors concentrate their analysis on the usefulness of the instrument developed, that they make the necessary adjustments, and that the final paper recommends its implementation with a much larger population. In its current form, I wouldn't promote its publication.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.