

Review of: "The Nexus between Energy Policies and Supply: A Descriptive Evaluation of Nigeria and UK Energy Sectors"

Baranitharan B¹

¹ Kalasalingam Academy of Research and Education

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author can be keen to the improvement of some things that have been noticed in the article through the following points:

The title of the paper does not match the content of the paper, and the title needs to be more specific including the method adopted for this work.

Abstract is very general and need to rewrite it by focusing main contribution of this study, objective in precise, methodological application and main findings.

Introduction is lack of sufficient literatures. The authors should follow scientific publication and how to write introduction. Introduction section is not strong. The last section of the introduction should summarize the limitations of past research and describe the study's primary aims and originality of nexus concept. The introduction is not strong enough

The literature comments are very insufficient relating to Sustainable eco-tourism points of view.

The paper's reported knowledge gap is not totally understandable. What is the purpose of this work? Please be sure to make all of your contributions apparent somewhere.

There are no objectives in the literature review. The procedure should go like this: A research proposal must include the following: (i) a critical analysis of the literature; (ii) the identification of a gap as a result of this critical analysis of the literature; (iii) the proposal of your hypothesis to fill the gap; (iv) the formulation of a pertinent and appropriate research question; and (v) an explanation of the proposed methodology to address this research question. As a result, you will be able to approach your study in a structured manner. The literature review section's goal is still unclear.

The section on methods is not presented in a logic sequence and should be revised. The methodology should be presented in chronological order starting with the search strategy and selection criteria, followed by collection and extraction of data and then data analysis. Research method needs more justification. In this methodology section Please explain how the work was used to create this review analysis. What matters should be addressed, nevertheless, during the review? What specific knowledge gap exists right now? What possible implication is there? Answers to the aforementioned queries are required.

Some errors related to space allotment are available and should be corrected.

In this work contributors just collect the data from world bank data sources and make some graphical representation alone in that discussion part is not clear, (Discussion is present in this paper should also include comparison of reviewed studies according to their strengths and weaknesses. The limitations of this review should be described if any).

Just after careful implantations of above mentioned points the manuscript may be recognized.

All the best

Regards

Baranitharan B