

Review of: "Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) for English Teachers as an Effective Alternative Framework for Professional Development"

Theophile Muhayimana¹

¹ University of Northern Iowa

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The abstract looks great, and it could've been beneficial to add brief information on implications for practice.

I would recommend the author to use more recent sources (published within the last five years, i.e., 2018-2023) in the intro section. Similarly, the author has a robust lit review section, and you can make it even stronger by adding more recent sources.

If APA 7 is the required formatting style, there is some work that needs to be done.

How does the PLC for English teachers align or connect with the two models described in the lit review section?

There's a serious need for sources in the methodology section to support the researcher's claims.

A detailed description of the phenomenological approach is needed.

Would recommend authors to use an informal writing style in the third paragraph of the methodology portion.

Did the author decide to combine the discussion and findings sections? For readability purposes, I'd recommend the author to have two separate sections.

It was hard to assess the reference section as I don't know which formatting style is required.