

# Review of: "Cadmium Toxicity Induced Changes on Antioxidative Enzymes Level in Fresh Water Catfish *Channa Punctatus* (Bloch)"

Muharrem Ince<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Munzur University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript reported "**Cadmium Toxicity-Induced Changes in Antioxidative Enzyme**

**Levels in Freshwater Catfish *Channa Punctatus* (Bloch)**". The study looks interesting, and the authors have added good discussion to the field; the readers will benefit. However, before publication, it needs to make a revision.

- Grammatical and spelling errors in the text should be eliminated.
- Units should be expressed uniformly as  $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ , not mg/L.
- The use of expressions such as Our, We, You is not recommended in scientific studies. Such expressions should be removed from the text.
- Most of the references are from before 2010; citing more recent studies will highlight the importance of the study.
- Decimal numbers should be reviewed and revised in accordance with the "significant numbers" rule.
- Novelty of the work should be highlighted in the introduction. The introduction is well written; however, the manuscript lacks novelty. The introduction's last paragraph should focus on your work's novelty.
- The author must modify the introduction by stating the following points: "Problems, Possible solutions, Disadvantages of these solutions, Author's idea, Advantages of author's idea, etc." An introduction in overview form is not recommended.
- Although the fiction of the study is good, the emphasis is not clear enough.
- There is no clear explanation as to which scientific gap this study fills or which problem it solves.

\*\*Therefore, I would like to recommend this manuscript for "Major revision".