

# Review of: "Nigeria's Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals"

Cor Schipper

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Daberechi Ejike, Eudorah Ohazurike, Nigeria's Implementation of the Sustainable Development Goals, Odinakachukwu Ejiogu 1, Roseline

Review Qeios, CC-BY 4.0 · Article, January 4, 2024

## Chapter Abstract:

Rephrase the content of the abstract, since it gives now general UN background info. Please pinpoint the results of your research in an academic way.

## Keywords

Use unique words for your manuscript; e.g., not the similar ones as 'Sustainable Development Goal' and 'sustainable goal'

### 1. Chapter Introduction:

Rephrase the Introduction based on the comments.

Introduction is very generally written; missing descriptions of:

- Problem statement
- aim of manuscript
- working hypotheses H1- H2
- outcomes and impact of this assessment can be placed in the context of....

REF of Ajala is not an active link (<https://www.datatype.com/latest-reports/sdgsfcb/can-sdgs-be-achieved-innigeria-before-2030-according-to-data-not-likely/>)

-

### 1. Chapter Methodology

-Missing is the REF of published documents including the Nigeria SDGs Indicators Baseline Report 2016, National

Voluntary Review reports, and other relevant literature (??

- Make a figure or schematization of the advanced used review process
- Not clearly described which consideration is made of relevance of the functionalities (PPP) with the SDG targets
- Describe which of the SDG targets analyses are and why not SDG targets e.g., SDG3.9 Pollutions and contamination or SDG3.d Early warning & risk reduction
- Chapter 2.1. Make a table of literature
- Chapter 2.2. Very chaotically written, so rephrase; make a table of the REF's that will be assessed. Some parts of the text 2.2 contain chapter 'Discussion' elements, which must not be part of the chapter Methodology. Keep the scope of a methodology!
- It seems to be a qualitative assessment and not based on data (quantitative assessment); please make clear in the methodology
- Overview of the assessment of SDG targets in this study; and how many SDG targets are considered of the total of 190 SDG targets are scored .
- Are KPIs used?

## 1. Chapter Review

### Chapter 3.1

- Make clear which considerations of the SDG targets are made, e.g., SDG1.5: Disaster resilience
- Make table (s) of each of the SDG targets that were assessed. Not clear now where the mentioned data are coming from. Give the REFs and the years of publication
- It is interesting to add the mitigation or funding measure programs for the SDG target
- In the chapter results of reviews is also made the discussions. Replace discussion elements to Chapter Discussion

### Chapter 3.2

Remarks are the same as of chapter 3.1

### Chapter 3.3

Remarks are the same as of chapter 3.1

### Chapter 3.4

Remarks are the same as of chapter 3.1

### Chapter 3.5 till chapter 3.17

Remarks are the same as of chapter 3.1

### 4. Chapter Looking Back and Thinking Forward to 2030

-Make from chapter 4 the chapter Discussion. Rephrase complete chapter 4 and rewrite it in an academic way. The content is now very general and subjective, since the postponed arguments . Used are adjectives as; *important, strong, effective, extreme, high, fight*, although no clear connection is made with chapter 3.

-Make comparison with SDG target outcome of other countries in the region

#### 1. Chapter Summary , conclusion and recommendation

-

Make a conclusion not general but dense based on the reviews and discussion.

-In the conclusion are now menthioned new elements which were not mentioned in chapter 3 or chapter 4 e.g. Militancy in the Niger Delta