

Review of: "A Research Note on Natural Reclamation Processes that Support Mangrove Biodiversity Spheres: Sedimentation in Three Major River Deltas in Northwestern Luzon Using Aerial Imagery"

Bachtiar Wahyu Mutaqin¹

¹ Universitas Gadjah Mada

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The introduction section is too short, too general, and does not explicitly explain the urgency of this study in three major river deltas in Northwestern Luzon. The authors should explain in more detail why they chose a specific area in Northwestern Luzon, the research gap in the study area, how the current conditions related to the topics in the study area, etc.

The authors should end their introduction with a strong, straightforward, and clear statement. The final paragraph of their introduction should elaborate in more detail, forecast their main arguments and conclusions, and provide a brief description of the rest of the paper that lets the reader know where they are going and what to expect. In addition, concluding the introduction with an explicit roadmap tells the reader that the authors clearly understand their paper's structural purpose.

Is the image taken during the same water conditions (high/low tide)? Furthermore, there is no information or explanation on how the authors deal with the spatial resolution/scale difference for each geospatial data used in this research. Since the article deals with coastal dynamics, especially delta, I think this is crucial.

There is no information on how the authors delineate their deltas. Unsupervised, supervised, or visual interpretation through digitization-on-screen? How are the authors sure their deltas data are accurate, not underestimated or overestimated? How about the uncertainty?

The results part is too short; somehow, it is just a repetition of the illustration in the figures/table. Some information will be better if you move to the Methods section. Furthermore, in this version, the manuscript has no real discussion, and where interpretations are expected, it lacks detailed referencing to demonstrate that the author tries to contextualize their results. It is sad that the author's work could be better presented, supported, and discussed contribution. The manuscript needs a proper, scientifically correct discussion and more in-depth arguments for the style of the coastal dynamics in three major deltas in the Philippines, including validation, accuracy, upscale/downscale, and uncertainty (if any).

Good luck, and keep up the excellent work!

