

Review of: "Refining ESP Learning and Increasing Motivation through the Incorporation of Technology into TBLT"

Musa Saimon¹

¹ College of Business Education

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I would like to congratulate the author(s) for the interesting topic and the effort they put in to produce the article. It has been a pleasure to read this article. There are so many positives to take from what the authors have produced, however, there are issues that the author can address to make this piece of work even better than now. Therefore, I suggest that the paper should be published if the author addresses the following issues

1. The author has written well the introduction by orienting the reader to the relationship between teaching English (CBL and ESP) and curriculum requirements in Malaysia. I missed the story on how you linked the motivation and technology. It would be better to provide this motivation and technology. Therefore, it is important that the author show the current state of learners' motivation and the use of technology in relation to learning English. This will help the reader to understand the motives behind the study to examine how technology may influence motivation in learning English. Also, the author should use current literature. For instance, (Mey, 2003) is a very old study to use to justify what should be done right now. Moreover, the author should discuss some of the previous studies related to the topic in different contexts. This could help in connecting the findings from the present study and the previous ones, especially in the section for discussion.
2. The sub section for Need analysis sounds like a part of the methodology. Where it is currently placed, it does not link with the introduction. Subsection 3 about technology integration could be merged with the introduction to strengthen the rationale for the study. (See suggestion no. 1)
3. In section 4, it is good that the author has highlighted the number of participants (students and lecturers). However, this part should be better if the author could extend it by adding information such as a. how was the number decided (sampling technique), b. how long did the study take place, c. what was the research design and approach, d. how were the collected data analysed.
4. In the section for findings and discussion, the author has clarified the organisation of the presentation and presented findings with some evidence from the data, which is helpful for the reader to understand. However, the author should improve this section by presenting implications of the findings and showing how the findings relate to the previous literature and theories used in the previous section of the study.
5. The author has summarized the potential contribution of the study, which is good. However, the author should make the

conclusion more comprehensive by presenting the aim of the study, the findings, implications and the recommendations.