

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

Zatiye Ayça Cevikelli-Yakut¹

¹ Trakya University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript is helpful for future studies, but needs major revisions.

The relationship between *Clematis hirsuta* leaf extract and *Rhamnus prinoides* root extract should be explained in the discussion section. Why were these two herbal drugs chosen for the study?

The abstract section should be revised. Experimental groups and experimental procedures should be briefly explained, and parameters should be added.

In the method section, the voucher specimen number (for the herbarium) should be added. When the plant samples were collected? Could you please add to manuscript?

References related to dose selection should be added to the manuscript.

In the materials section, the names of the hematology and biochemistry kits should be added.

In the method section, references related to the preparation of plant extracts should be added.

In the discussion section, abbreviation spelling rules should be observed. In some places it was written as *C. hirsuta*, in others as *Clematis hirsuta*.

Figure 1: Plant names should be written in italics.

The discussion section should be improved with results and literature.

The conclusion section should be improved.

Could you please explain why the rats were selected as female?

The route of administration of the extracts and the number of times a day should be added to the manuscript

More information related to the chemical content of plant extracts could be added to manuscript.