

Peer Review

Review of: "Which Attributes Explain Gender Differences in Impostor Syndrome Scores in Medicine and Health Sciences Students: A Secondary Multivariate Analysis"

Kadir Uludağ¹

1. Shanghai Mental Health Center, Shanghai, China

1-) The abstracts seem very good. They summarize it well. You can add more information related to the results if possible in the abstract section.

2-) You can add more references related to the following:

Studies suggest that IS affects up to 70% of people at some point, particularly during new and challenging tasks.

3-) You can mention the names of the scales.

Previous research has used various scales to evaluate IS.

4-) Which analyses?

In all previous analyses, only gender emerged as a predictor of the total CIPS score.

5-) The word strictly may not be necessary.

This participation was strictly voluntary.

6-) You can give more information about the participants.

7-) I think your conclusion of the study should be more specific and limited to the results of your study.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.