

## Review of: "Technical and Financial Viability of a 1 MW CSP Power Plant with Organic Rankine Module: Case Study for a Northeastern Brazilian City"

## Hardik Jani<sup>1</sup>

1 Pandit Deendayal Petroleum University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The following are my suggestions for the manuscript. Kindly consider.

- The Abstract does not clearly represent the novelty of the present work and hence, needs to be revised. Further, the need for the research and the outcomes are also not mentioned.
- The Abstract should have one sentence per each: context and background, motivation, hypothesis, methods, results, and conclusions.
- The research gap and the novelty of the work have not been elaborated clearly.
- At the end of the Introduction section, the research gap in the literature and the novelty of the present research should be stated clearly.
- The paragraphs in the Introduction section are more than a page long. The shorter paragraphs are suggested for presenting clearer view to the Readers.
- A flowchart depicting the steps followed for the study would add value to the manuscript.
- Font sized in the images are advised to be increased for better visual representation.
- Kindly state the limitations of the present work along with the possible future work at the end of the conclusion section.

Qeios ID: L74N7E · https://doi.org/10.32388/L74N7E