

Review of: "Blockchain EV Payment Systems: A Systematic Literature Review in Retail Energy Trading"

Jovan Karamachoski¹

1 University for Information Science and Technology "St. Paul The Apostle"

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is generally well written, with few places where passive voice dominates. My suggestion is to try to rephrase the sentences that are using passive voice.

The authors have reviewed a novel topic with great potential for further research, but the overall impression for the paper is that their focus is more on the methodology used to make the review. The discussion related to the drawn conclusion from the last selection of the papers is poor and superficial.

The paper will have better impact if there are some tables/figure or block diagram where the selection process and the number of selected/rejected papers are shown. This tables/figures can be accompanied by the criterion for selection.

Qeios ID: L9DR8Z · https://doi.org/10.32388/L9DR8Z