

Review of: "Optimized Material Removal and Tool Wear Rates in Milling API 5ST TS-90 Alloy: AI-Driven Optimization and Modelling with ANN, ANFIS, and RSM"

Dhanalakshmi S

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

- 1. Title: The title is adequate.
- 2. Abstract: Abstract is concise with details reported.
- 3. Introduction: To improve the readability of the paper, it is suggested that the text should be concise instead of long winded. It will be better to read if a tab space is provided at the beginning of each paragraph.
- 4. Contribution to the literature: The author have presented the sufficient study of literature related to methodologies used but the paper lacks literature supporting the use of Workpiece material.
- 5. Research Design: Repetition of same sentence is perceived especially on the measurement of independent variables , should be avoided. I think the author has no clarity about the version of Design Expert software used (mentioned as version 14 in abstract and version 13 in Research design section).
- 6. Results and Discussion: The author have used the data to the full extent. The author has made valuable contribution in interpreting the result obtained. The results and discussion part are adequately addressed.
- 7. Conclusion: The paper is well written and the author has provided a thorough search with a sound methodology and a comprehensive analysis of the results.

Overall this is a novel approach and I congratulate the author for his excellent work and efforts.

Qeios ID: LE4J1B · https://doi.org/10.32388/LE4J1B