Review of: "Consistent Interpretation of Quantum and Classical Mechanics"

Dr William Sulis[1]

1 McMaster University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I must admit that I found this paper to be rather difficult to read. The stated postulates appear to mix possible axioms and derived results, and I could not quite appreciate the difference between Postulates 3 and 4. I am afraid I did not understand the postulates in regards to relativity. The lack of understanding may be on my part, not due to the author's style. It would be nice to see what can be derived from these postulates - the only part that seems truly new is the idea that NRQM lacks a description for the transition of a particle between energy levels - which I don't think is true as a general statement though I am not an expert in atomic physics. The arguments make a great deal of reference to the atomic setting - but what about all of the other situations the NRQM can address? Can the author go beyond the measurement obsession of NRQM?