

Review of: "Analysing the conglomeration of various urban pockets through the lens of environmental design for crime prevention: A case of Kolkata"

Bachir Khalil Aouissi¹

¹ Université de Blida

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1/ The abstract is a little too long and is made up of several paragraphs. Ideally, it should be limited to a single paragraph, setting out in advance the purpose of the work and its originality, the method, and the results (a point already made in the abstract).

2/ Review the key words: spatial planning occurs only once in the text and should therefore be removed, defensible space occurs twice, and the same goes eyes on the street, urban planning and urban design are a kind of repetition.

3/ the document is well referenced and makes use of rich academic sources, however a small paragraph (even if the method has not been used) concerning spatial syntax and the work of Bill Hillier are considered references for the theme.

4/ double-check the referencing of the sources used (references used in the text do not exist in the bibliographic references).

5/ The source of the figures (from sites A, B and C), photos and satellite images is missing.

6/ to identify sites A, B and C, it would be a good idea to mark the point at which the photos were taken, and to review the font used on the images (too tiny).

7/ In conclusion, the work is well done, contributes to previous work on the subject and deserves to be published after the corrections requested.