

Review of: "How to burp an infant – a prospective comparative pilot study on four different methods"

Esmeralda Santacruz¹

¹ Universidad de Castilla La Mancha

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This study may be very interesting for future research on this topic.

As comments that could improve the described text, I indicate my assessments to the author:

-The age of the twins at the beginning of the study is not indicated in the methodology section. I think this information would be interesting. In addition, the author already refers to the differences that existed in terms of the number of vomits that occurred in the first half and the second half of the study. That is, age and therefore maturation can influence.

-There is a lot of scientific evidence regarding the importance and benefits of breastfeeding in the first months of life, even in premature babies. This hard work can provide relevant data in this regard. I believe that the author should provide more specific information on this practice. It is not clear whether breastfeeding was 2 total times a day or 2 in the morning and 2 in the evening, given directly to the mother's breast or through bottles. Neither, how long was breastfeeding offered (not exclusive) and not if artificial milk was provided before or after those breast milk feedings. The type of food offered, as well as its frequency, can be relevant data in terms of the manifestations and results obtained in the dependent variable (burping and vomiting).

I thank this author for carrying out this study in a systematic way and providing information that can be used to start new studies on this line of work.