

Review of: "Square peg in a round hole: Migration and romantic relationship troubles in the UK Zimbabwean diaspora"

Anushyama Mukherjee¹

¹ St. Xavier's College, Kolkata

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title is suitable for the topic.

Only snowballing was conducted in methodology which questions the diversity in responses

In the last paragraph of the introduction, it will be nice if the research questions are said in a sentence format and not in points (a,b,c, etc).

In methodology, out of 30 samples, how many are men? how many are women?- please add.

The Analysis section needs improvement. This is an article and not a dissertation so the way of writing needs maturity.

Please remove theme numbers as 1,2,3 etc. There is no need to write theme as well. A nice heading is what is required

Theme on cultural ideologies leading to violence seemed more like gendered violence as the culture is more related to a woman's role at home. So the re think about renaming the theme.

Theme 2 needs rewriting as the analysis is unclear of men in the host land and men in the homeland and men in host land has remained almost same. It also should bring more nuances into the violence part

Theme 2 and theme 3 overlaps. They should merge as one theme as they are not different. Culture is intertwined with gender here and not food, markets or different types of voluntary associations in the host land.

In each theme, so many quotes are not required. The author/s can bring 2 or 3 relevant quotes

Divorce and separation should be viewed from structural violence and gender separately.

The conclusion needs re working as per the themes.

In every theme, the authors should avoid to begin a theme with quotes and at the end they are giving the analysis. Instead, they begin with the introduction of the theme, analyze it and insert quotes from the field.

In every theme, there is no mention of theories which is not uncommon but when authors are speaking of gender violence, for example, then a few important thinkers should be mentioned. Please add.

With the above corrections, I recommend the manuscript to be published.