

Review of: "The Evolution of Adult Learners' Roles: A Case Study of an English Teacher's Transformative Journey"

Lizette Drusila Flores Delgado¹

¹ Universidad Autónoma de Chihuahua

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is not suitable for an academic journal as it is now, as it is based on opinion rather than on evidence. My suggestions:

- Define "adult learning", as your concept may be different depending on context.
- Check APA style and cite accordingly (for example, use page numbers when using direct quotes).
- The theory you are following by Mezirow is too old. Are there any newer theories that can be used? If you insist on using this one, explain why, justify it.
- There are no transitions between sections. You moved too abruptly from the introduction to the stages subsection. Be careful with that.
- There are two authors but the paper is written as a single author. Why?
- There is no explanation in section 1: Six stages. You only included quotes, but there is no analysis nor explanation as to what each of the stages mean, why they are important, why you are mentioning them, why you chose those specific 6 stages, etc.
- What are the ethical considerations of using your own experience for this study? Aren't the data biased because of this? What makes this a research paper and not just an autobiography? I suggest that if you want to continue with this study, you use other participants so that the data isn't so biased to your opinion.
- The references are NOT related to the paper at all.
- This is not a research study, and lacks methodology and literature to be considered a serious paper. I suggest that authors re-focus it so that they can conduct an actual study.