

## Review of: "Factors contributing to labour unrest at the garment factories in Bangladesh: A cross-sectional study"

Andrzej Buszko<sup>1</sup>

1 University of Wamia and Masuria in Olsztyn

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The manuscript meets the requirements of a scientific text. Three main components can be distinguished: theoretical part with literature review, methodological assumptions, discussion and conclusions. Literature review is sound, generally up dated and fits with the scope of the research. References are numerous and different ones. It means that Authors provided diverse point of views. That good attitude. Scientific argumentation in overall conducted with moderate skill. I vote to specify more clearly (based upon literature review) the research niche. Such information supposed to be put down after "The central part of this literature outlined the lack of recognised Trade Unions (TU) as the reason (Islam and Ahmed, 2014). Some literature argued that there was TU, but this institution's failure to ensure the labour rights of citizens was the root cause of labour unrest (Islam and Ahmed 2014; Ferdous 2012). Finally, few studies outlined the mismanagement of trade in textile waste or jhoot (Kamal Billah and Hossain 2010)" p.3.

Some remarks to Methodology p.4. Authors informed on collected primary data employing questionnaire survey and indepth interviews. That's good but I would like to know how were the answers verified? Who knows which were fake or true ones? The results were presented properly. The research tools require justification. For example whether the stationarity of the variables distribution was taken into account or not? what about the autocorrelation of variables?

Albeit the discussion is relatively short, it fully relates to the research results. I vote make conclusion longer and more based upon on premises.

General opinion: The article should be published after taking into account minor remarks. I am pretty sure Authors can cope with them without any problems. They know this research area very well.

Qeios ID: LO28JG · https://doi.org/10.32388/LO28JG