

# Review of: "Anorectal Malformations with Visible Fistulas. Theoretical Substantiation of a New Version of the Cutback Procedure"

Nitin Pant<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> King George's Medical University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The author has discussed about the theoretical Substantiation of a New Version of the Cutback Procedure in cases of perineal fistula in ARM cases.

It seems that the author has developed a new technique of anoplasty for perineal fistula cases which he wants to share with the peers.

Moreover, it also seems that the author believes in the ectopic terminal portion of the rectum to be the anal canal and advocates for its preservation.

That is fine and one is entitled to believe and choose either way.

In the introduction one is not very clear as to what the author intends to discuss. Whether it is a comparative analysis between Anoplasty and PSARP or he wants to discuss a new technique

The author need not compare the two school of thoughts with the relevant literature available. He can dot it in a separate paper. It is not relevant here and so is not required.

The authors writeup lacks the portion of Material Method and Result which is a must.

In my view, all the author has to do is to discuss the existing procedures for anoplasty. Their differences in terms of surgical steps and outcome. Lacuna in these techniques, if any. He should have a pictorial representation of the surgical steps of his technique for ease of understanding. How many cases has he done and what were his results. He can then do a comparative analysis of his technique with the existing ones.