

Review of: "The Failure of Public Water Utility Privatization From Araral's Perspective: Implications for Ethiopia's Water Sector"

Helga Gruberg¹

¹ Universidad Católica Boliviana, La Paz

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The document does not provide a solid research question and knowledge gap. Hence, the scientific contribution is weak. Below you will find some specific comments.

Abstract

It is important to be consistent in the way you present the titles of the documents. Sometimes you capitalize them and others you do not.

Introduction

In this section it is important to provide information about the problem or issue the article tackles. Also, it is important to mention the knowledge gap you are dealing with.

In the first sentence of the introduction, you are assuming that because you mention Araral in the abstract you do not have to introduce the topic. However, you do need to do it and even detail further.

You need to improve the management of references. In many cases you do not include references. Likewise, you have some direct quotes, include page number for example but not the author and year. When you mention "abundant literature" you need to present abundant references. Likewise, when you mention many documents, many studies, many documents, etc. You need to present many references. Otherwise it is just speculation.

Likewise, you mention empirical evidence but do not present any references. You need to improve all the reference management in all the document.

Which one is your research question? The knowledge gap?

OECD the first time you mention it, it has to be complete. Please check all the abbreviations.

When you mention "the author" you need to be specific about the document you are making reference to. In the lifetime, authors may change their point of view.

Although you mention Ethiopia in the title you do not mention it in the body of the document until the point 7.

