

Review of: "Broad Immunity of *Acinetobacter baumannii* lysogens: Implications for Phage Therapy Strategies"

Afroza Akter Tanni¹

¹ University of Chittagong

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is well-written. Some minor comments have been added in the manuscript as I outlined throughout it:

*Suggestion to revise throughout the manuscript to ensure proper punctuation.

Abstract:

1. Page 1: Suggestion to change the term "increasingly considered"
2. Page 1: Suggestion to remove the keyword "Superinfection"

Introduction:

1. Page 2: Suggestion to replace "AB is an aerobic, non-sugar-fermenting bacterium. It does not form filaments but can move in a twitching manner using pili" with "AB, an aerobic and non-sugar fermenting bacterium, can move in a twitching manner using pili without forming filaments."
2. Page 2: Suggestion to remove the
3. Page 2: Suggestion to double-check punctuation.

Materials and Methods:

1. Page 2: Suggestion to mention the sample size.
2. Page 3: Are there any changes to the procedure's parameters?

Results:

1. Page 5: Figure 1, Suggestion to add a legend with the figure in detail.
2. Page 6: Table 1, Suggestion to add the line "The MOI clearance of the AB phage against ABU-3 is shown based on 5 experiments (exp.)" just below the table.
3. Page 6: Suggestion to replace "resisted" with "resistance to"

Discussion:

1. Page 7: Suggestion to keep the line "more information is required to confirm these classifications" at the end of the discussion part as a limitation of the study.
2. Page 8: Suggestion to add an example with "Different theories explain super-infection immunity"

3. Page 8: Suggestion to define the term “related phages” shortly.