

Review of: "Evaluation of the effectiveness of the collegiate system of administration at Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana"

Iwona Staniec¹

¹ Technical University of Lodz

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

1. The literature review does not highlight the barriers and opportunities associated with the university's transition to a collegiate system.
 2. The description of the methodology does not present the value of targeting the entire population or the principles of target selection. Please describe the data collection.
 3. No description of how bias is eliminated.
 4. No description of the questionnaire.
 5. The survey methodology is described at a very poor level.
 6. The presentation of the survey results leaves a great deal to be desired. The use of % with a sample of 32 respondents is unacceptable.
 7. The paper does not provide any research hypothesis/es or/and research questions. Please, formulate it/them and present it in the text.
 8. The discussion section, which compares the research results with other/former studies, should be developed.
 9. Please provide a challenge for future research (just one or two sentences at the end of the conclusion section).
 10. Please, have in mind the link between the objective of your study, approach and results. The readers want to easily understand the link between Theoretical Background – Research Purpose – Data – Empirical Results – Discussion. Be as specific as possible and also as simple as possible. Lack of research constraints and limitation.
- Also, the paper does not show convincingly what its relevance is. Why is it so important to study this comparison? Consequently, the contribution of this study becomes only poor clear.
- Understandably, you are working with what you have available, but I'm not sure the comparison is as meaningful as just considering the effect and the implications in this study.
- This paper does not present findings as a model that can guide increased collegiate system at the university. Rather, the study provides intriguing data for a subsequent manipulation study, i.e., this study testing whether findings are causal.