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Dear author/s,

Though this is a generally interesting topic on the new virus epidemic, with regret, I noted a number of potential flaws with this paper.

1. Abstract is not to the point. It did not cover all parts of the paper.

2. The purpose of the paper was not clearly mentioned.

3. The introduction section would read better if the following are better stated and explained: focus of research conceptual/theoretical framework including relevant literature review studies that were used to conduct this research study. The Introduction should provide background information and the aims and rationale behind the paper. This will allow a clear understanding of the context and importance of the study. The ‘big picture’ relevance is important in the introduction which I couldn’t see it. The introduction section must explain without any ambiguity why there was a need for this research, what research gap(s) it addresses, and how it contributes to the body of knowledge. In addition, it could explain the benefits of the study to the research and how and why the research advances knowledge in the field. Moreover, objectives and significance of the Study should be part of the introduction and needs to be resumed in the conclusion. A literature review is needed may be needed to explain to the readers how the study fits within the context of existing literature knowledge. For instance, the author(s) could consider similar papers related to the present study topic to strengthen the background of the study.

4. The paper did not cite an appropriate range of literature sources in this field.

5. Discussion is totally weak. In discussion, what I expect to see is that what are the plausible reasons behind obtaining these results? I couldn’t see this. Moreover, the validity and accuracy of the data should be discussed. The authors must comment on whether or not the results were expected and present explanations for the results; they must go into greater depth when explaining findings that were unexpected or especially profound. Moreover, in discussion, authors should clearly state what their study adds to the body of the literature. In addition, the authors are recommended to mention what are the next steps in the research in this area. The last point is that in the discussion, the authors must compare/contrast their results with similar studies, mentioned in literature.
6. In the discussion and implications sections the author/s merely restate the results but do not attempt to explain why some hypotheses are not supported. The contribution would even be more significant if you can compare your findings with existing findings of previous studies. By this way, also more managerial insights can be revealed.

7. Conclusion is missing. It is not aligned with the data. A conclusion must provide closure and drive the main points of your essay one last time. It’s the chance to impress and give readers an understanding of why your paper matters. In other words, your essay conclusion should answer the question, “So what?”