

Review of: "Knowledge among Health care workers (HCWs) regarding biomedical waste management (BMW) during COVID-19 Pandemic"

Xavela Maluleke¹

¹ University of Fort Hare

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The title of the study is different from the purpose indicated in the abstract. The abstract represent and summarises the article's major points and argument. However, it is not clearly indicate where and when the study was conducted. What is SKIMS? The results indicated in the abstract are based on observation. It is not clear what observation is referred to here. There is a need to use scientific language to make easier for the reader to understand what the author is referring to.

The literature review need to be improved to assist the reader to understand the background of the article and discussions of the findings. The population size of the study needs to be indicated making to make it easy for the reader to determine if the sample size was appropriate. Indicate how the sample size was calculated and how the subjects were recruited. Indicate the research methods and used in the study in details to enable other researchers to duplicate them. When and how the data were collected. This will improve the scientific merit of the study. The author only used means in the analysis of the data, there is a need to use other statistical methods to improve the credibility and reliability of this study. An in-depth examination could have yielded interesting results. The discussions are scanty and not in-depth with inconsistencies in referencing and reference list. There is a need to improve all aspects of the article to ensure its acceptability as a scientific article.