

Peer Review

Review of: "Effect of High-Frequency Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation on Upper-Limb Function After Stroke: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis"

Sattwika Banerjee¹

1. Neurology, Institute of Neurosciences Kolkata, Kolkata, India

The manuscript is an excellent work, and the review explained the concepts thoroughly and included all the studies. There are some small points which I would like to highlight:

1. In the abstract section, the phrase "functional recovery" has been used more than once, so if it could be replaced by some other similar wording.
2. The line numbers were missing, which made the reviewing process a bit difficult.
3. The 2nd paragraph of the introduction included the point about large doses of physical rehab; could the words be replaced?
4. The risk of bias part in Data extractions could have been simplified.
5. In Figure 1, the side shapes are not properly understood; increasing the size would be helpful.
6. A small paragraph on the mechanism of action of HF rTMS as an intervention in stroke would be good.

Declarations

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.