

## Review of: "The Compton Wavelength Is the True Matter Wavelength, Linked to the Photon Wavelength, While the de Broglie Wavelength Is Simply a Mathematical Derivative"

## Margaret Hawton<sup>1</sup>

1 Lakehead University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

## QeiosReviewHaug

The authors "demonstrate that the Compton wavelength corresponds exactly to the photon wavelength of rest mass energy".

From Wikipedia: Compton wavelength: "The Compton wavelength is defined as the wavelength of a photon, the energy of which is the same as the rest energy of

that particle," and from de Broglie wavelength: "de Broglie's

momentum-wavelength relation generalizes the Planck relation to matter waves. De Broglie argued that if particles have a wave nature, the relation \$E=h\nu\$ would also apply to them, and he postulated that particles would have a wavelength equal to \$\lambda=h/p\$."

The fundamental relativistic equation relating energy, momentum, and mass is

 $E^{2}-p^{2}c^{2}=m^{2}c^{4}$ . For a photon, m=0, E=hf,  $p=h\lambda$ , and  $\lambda$ , and  $\lambda$  is the frequency. The Compton hypothesis is  $hf=\gamma$ 

mc^{2}\$, so \$\lambda\_{c}=h/\gamma mc\$. For deBroglie matter waves, \$E=\gamma mc\$, \$p=\gamma mv\$, where \$v\$ is the speed of the particle, and \$\lambda {b}=h/p=h/\gamma mv\$.

The article is interesting and well-written, but I cannot recommend publication of this article on the basis of Sections 1 to 6 since, in my opinion, it contains no new or unexpected results. Sections 7 and 8 are outside of my area of expertise, so I have no comment on them.