

Review of: "Mediumship for Pets: A Pilot Study With a Triple-Blind Protocol"

L. R. Mesquita¹

¹ São Paulo State University (UNESP), São Paulo, Brazil

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article was well-founded in other methodologies using human beings. The part of the methodology involving passing scales to the tutor to evaluate the reading helps to validate the process. I liked the part about doing everything in a way that did not induce the tutor's response to agree with the mediumistic readings. This showed the transparency of the process. I believe that as an initial study, it can be published for the knowledge of the scientific community. The results and discussion based on what has already been published in human beings were the main validation of the method and of this article. However, a study with a larger sample group is recommended in order to have more concise results. I recommend a greater number of cases because, as the authors can be questioned about subjectivity, if there are more cases, there will be greater statistical power. Given what the authors themselves perceived from the small sample, this is a preliminary study, but one worth sharing.