

# Review of: "On the ongoing need for naturalistic philosophy to interpret what occupational science is doing"

Son Pham

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This is a very interesting article.

But improvement is needed. Please define naturalistic philosophy first so the interdisciplinary readership can understand from which ground the article has based on.

Generally, literature on research methods, especially on phenomenology, should be more contemporary. For example, Pham, S.T. (2022), "The distinctions of Heideggerian phenomenological research method", Qualitative Research Journal, Vol. 22 No. 2, pp. 261-273. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRJ-09-2021-0093>

Particularly, there is some more details need to clarify.

- Occupational science, founded in 1989, add reference.
- Phenomenology in this writing is in Hegel's and Husserl's sense.
- P2, para 2, "Conflict with the requirements of science" in its positivism sense

All the best!