

Review of: "Are non-native speakers' English pronunciation similar?: Evidence through PRAAT recording software"

Ramin Rahimy

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Dear Editor, the following points should be considered:

- 1. In spite of the fact that stylistic issues are variant in article writing community, it seems more acceptable if you avoid using personal pronouns such as 'l' in the Introduction section. Instead, use passive sentences.
- 2. Avoid using contractions such as 'can't'. 'cannot' is preferred in scientific language.
- 3. 'To find answer to research objectives....' is not correct. You find answer to a research question not an objective.
- 4. Why have you explained your method in the Introduction section?
- 5. One structural problem in your article is that the research problem has not been stated and elaborated on. The reader does not know what the rationale behind the study of pronunciation difference is. The gap is unclear.
- 6. Do not define 'Research Design' in your methodology. Instead, please talk about the design of your study; whether it is an experimental one or a descriptive one or something else.
- 7. Students cannot be 'chosen'. They are selected, because in research language, there is a 'subject selection' not a 'subject choice'.
- 8. In data analysis, you have defined PRAAT. Please explain in detail how you have analyzed your own data: by statistical tests, or qualitatively. Transfer all definitions to Introduction in a section titled: Definitions of Key Terms.

Result: Publishable with MAJOR corrections.