

Review of: "Prevalence and Factors Associated With Selected Non-communicable Diseases (Hypertension, Type 2 Diabetes, and Depression) Among People Living With HIV at Kalisizo Hospital in Kyotera District, Uganda: A Cross-Sectional Study"

Henri Olivier Tatsilong Pambou¹

1 Université Jean Monnet

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

English Language and Style

- () Extensive editing of English language and style required
- (x) Moderate English changes required
- () English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
- () I don't feel qualified to judge about the English Language and Style

Comments for Author

Reviewer's Comment 1

The title is too long and misleading. The authors are talking about the prevalence of non-communicable diseases or factors associated with these diseases.

Reviewer's Comment 2

The methodology section needs to be organized; qualitative data and quantitative data need to be pooled. The participants' diagram should be in this part and not in the results section.

Reviewer's Comment 3

The results should be presented in four tables according to your specific objectives (socio-demographic characteristics, non-communicable diseases, associated factors, and correlations between non-communicable diseases and associated factors).

Reviewer's Comment 4

If I'm not mistaken, the prevalence observed in Table 3 makes us question what NCDs are found in your study population, as long as we see the prevalence of diabetes, HT, depression, and NCDs.



Reviewer's Comment 5

In your results, I didn't see any mention of the antiretroviral regimen, but in your discussion, the authors state that the high rate of diabetes may be linked to the antiretroviral regimen. If this is the case, you'll have to review your results.

Reviewer's Comment 6

In the discussion, the authors compare their results rather than discussing them. The paragraph on depression needs to be properly discussed. The prevalence is higher than in the region's systematic review and meta-analysis, and it is highly likely that there are elements specific to the study that can be used for discussion.

Reviewer's Comment 6

Review the references; they are very numerous. For my part, I think that thirty references are sufficient.