

Review of: "Deploying WordPress in Docker: A Scalable and Secure Solution"

Vitor V. Curtis¹

¹ Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Decision: Reject. The paper is shorter than usual and lacks the rigor expected of an academic paper, such as a deeper analysis typical of research papers. However, the subject has practical relevance and should be considered when the authors have completed a more comprehensive work.

Literature Review: The literature review provides a comprehensive background and effectively establishes the context for the research. However, some references and discussions are irrelevant to an academic paper (including the introduction). It would be better to focus on a more technical and specific approach rather than a contextual one.

Methodology: More details on the specific configurations and parameters used in the performance testing would improve the transparency and reproducibility of the results. Authors must justify their decisions.

Results and Discussion: There is no discussion, just a list of results. The analysis should not be left solely to the reader but should be the authors' responsibility.

Additionally, authors must explicitly indicate their contributions related to the state-of-the-art.