

Review of: "Chronic disease treatment default prediction with random sampling optimization."

Tao Wang¹

¹ Université Jean Monnet

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

These suggestions aim to enhance the clarity, relevance, and overall quality of the paper.

1. The first part of the Abstract can benefit from greater conciseness, while the second part should be rephrased to provide concrete results.
2. I agree with the statement, "Meanwhile, in many real-world applications such as healthcare systems and fraud detection, the contributions of minority classes are particularly crucial." It aligns well with the focus of this paper.
3. The section on Related Work should be expanded to provide more clarity regarding the motivation behind the research.
4. The Method section requires revision to offer more detailed insights into the proposed methodology rather than reiterating the basics.
5. It would be helpful to include a descriptive table for the data, which can enhance the paper's comprehensibility.
6. Commentary on Figures 2.2 and 2.3 is needed to elucidate their significance.
7. Figure 2.4 appears unnecessary and might be omitted to streamline the paper.
8. The Results and Discussion sections do not sufficiently contribute to the paper's value. It should be revised to provide more meaningful insights and connections to the research.
9. The inclusion of a section on Managerial Insights is recommended to offer practical applications and implications of the research findings.