

Review of: "Comment on "On the linearity of the generalized Lorentz transformation""

Usamah Al-Ali¹

¹ Saudi Electronic University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

I have several remarks on this paper.

1. The abstract is poorly written. The author should add few statements about the findings of Verheest's paper. Readers are not supposed to be familiar with Verheest's work.
2. The introduction is too short. It should give the reader an overview about the subject being studied and a clear plan on what the author intends to do in the manuscript. Therefore, I suggest the author should give a historical background on the subject and also, he can mention the plan of the paper in the end of the introduction. In this case, he needs to add more references (3 references are definitely very few).
3. More importantly, The paper is not very original as the major work has already been done in literature. Filling few gaps, in my opinion, does not qualify the paper to be publishable in a peer reviewed journal. That doesn't mean that this work is worthless. However, I believe this article is more fit to be published in Wikipedia or other platforms but not in peer reviewd journals.