

# Review of: "Examining the Ethical and Geopolitical Context of Global Food Security Policy"

Musa Yahaya Pudza

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

The research study titled "Examining the Ethical and Geopolitical Context of Global Food Security Policy," by Vojtěch Mašek, provides valuable insights into the broader ethical and geopolitical aspects of food security. However, upon critical evaluation, there are several areas where the document falls short of meeting research standards.

**Insufficient Citations:** There is a lack of proper citations throughout the document. Failing to provide appropriate references undermines the transparency and credibility of the research, as it becomes difficult for readers to verify the information or follow up on the sources.

**Questionable Inclusion of Personal Opinions:** The research incorporates personal opinions and subjective views without clearly distinguishing them from objective research findings. This compromises the objectivity and impartiality of the research, as it is important to maintain a clear distinction between personal perspectives and evidence-based analysis.

**Inadequate Engagement with Contrasting Viewpoints:** The research seems to present a narrow perspective without adequately engaging with contrasting viewpoints or alternative interpretations of the issues discussed. A comprehensive research paper should demonstrate a balanced understanding of different perspectives and engage in critical analysis of conflicting viewpoints.

**Lack of Methodological Transparency:** The research does not provide explicit information about the research methodology employed in conducting the study. A well-designed research paper should include details about the research design, data collection methods, and data analysis techniques to ensure transparency and reproducibility.

**Limited Discussion of Limitations:** The research does not sufficiently acknowledge or discuss the limitations of the research. It is important to openly address the potential weaknesses or constraints of the study to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the research findings and to avoid overgeneralization.

In conclusion, while the research raises important ethical and geopolitical considerations in the context of food security, it falls short in meeting research standards due to insufficient citations, inclusion of personal opinions, inadequate engagement with contrasting viewpoints, limited methodological transparency, lack of discussion on limitations, and improper formatting and citations. These shortcomings undermine the overall quality and credibility of the research.

