

Review of: "Why the Standard Definition of Creativity Fails to Capture the Creative Act"

Jaroslaw Korpysa¹

1 University of Szczecin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The article is an interesting debate on the new framing of creativity. The article is rigorous in terms of content, but I notice several gaps, i.e., there is no indication of a hypothesis or thesis, there is no methodological section, and there is no discussion part with comparison to other articles or results of research. There is a lack of managerial implications, indication of research limitations, and directions for further research.

Qeios ID: M662LL · https://doi.org/10.32388/M662LL