

# Review of: "A Review of the Processes and Procedures of Road Traffic Accident Mortality Data Collection in Zambia"

Karin Viegas<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Thank you for the opportunity for this review. The introduction is quite extensive, and there is a literature review. I suggest combine and present the most pertinent and relevant items, including updated references.

There are repeated paragraphs in the introduction and literature review. What also happens in the method. Ex.: "The purpose of these meetings was to validate the accuracy and reliability of the existing data collection processes and procedures, as well as to gather insights into potential areas for improvement." And "additionally, structured interviews were conducted with key stakeholders to validate the existing processes, gather insights, and identify challenges and opportunities for improvement."

The method is also confusing. I suggest an academic method to help the methodological description (design, population and sample, selection criteria, data source, data collection period and type of analysis performed). There is doubt about the data collection, as well as on data analysis, mainly in relation to the performance matrix. The question remains whether this matrix already exists or was created by the researchers. These details in the methodological information will help other research in further analysis. Another relevant in relation to the treatment of data are mainly in relation to ethical aspects.

Figure 1 need a better explanation. There could be a comparative table with the data collected from the parties involved. It will be easier to understand

The references caught my attention. Only (35%) 14 of them date back to the last 5 years. I advise to use up to 50% references from articles published in the last 5 years.

The discussion does not explain the research findings, especially when refer to the differences in the number of records from each source collected. At the end of the discussion, it must include the limitations of the method.

The conclusion does not relate to the analyzed data and the proposed objective.