

# Review of: "Richir's Phenomenological and Symbolical as a Model for the Relationship between Phenomenology and Theology"

Oneide Perius<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Universidade do Tocantins

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

First of all, I would like to thank for the opportunity to read this excellent article. Furthermore, express my support for the editorial project of this Journal. Allowing open access to knowledge is an indispensable initiative for the democratization of knowledge.

About the text of the article, I think it proposes a careful analysis of a very relevant issue, not only in the field of phenomenology, but for philosophy in general. Specifically, in a context where the ideal of an absolute immanence of human experience, as sought by Phenomenology, seems to be incompatible with the symbolic or theological dimension, what the author proposes, based on Marc Richir's reflections, is to problematize the tradition that establishes these separations.

According to the author "In other words, the human Dasein, the Lebenswelt, and every experience itself is already marked by the phenomenological and the symbolical. Richir thinks that human experience straddles these two dimensions and the challenge for phenomenology is to articulate how they relate to each other in experience." And, at the conclusion of the text, we can read: "The phenomenological and the symbolic are essentially aspects of the same human experience. The ideas proposed by Reason (the symbolical) in their determination, become essentially humanized when they incite phenomenisation that temporalizes and spatializes human experience. The power of imagination in its liberality (the phenomenological) while seeking to surpass itself still remains within the zone of human experience, insofar as it merely stretches in its strides to apprehend."

The article is well structured, develops solid arguments to support the thesis it announces, and makes an excellent analysis of the bibliography necessary to place the issue within the scope of contemporary philosophy. I don't have any changes to propose, just recommend reading.