

Review of: "Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*"

S.O. Olubodun¹

¹ University of Benin

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

Qeios Journal Reviewers' Comments

Preamble: The paper described Toxicological evaluation of aqueous extracts of *Clematis hirsuta* and *Rhamnus prinoides*. Much of the information and parameters studied are relatively available in literature, and as such, study provides little new information. However, the article was relatively well written but the following needs to be addressed before the paper can be considered for eventual acceptance/publication

Title

Ok

Abstract

- I will suggest authors discuss their results in terms of percentage.
- The comparison should be made and expressed in terms of statistical significance since the data were subjected to statistical analysis.
- All sentences concerning results should be expressed in terms of statistical significance.

Introduction

- Clearly state what is new and the significant finding of this study.

Materials and Methods

- Study Location should be provided with the geographic coordinates in terms of the longitude and latitude for the studied sites.
- Provide voucher numbers for the plants.

Results and Discussion

- The author should strive for clarity and brevity while presenting the results.
- Authors should replace treatment group with "treated group" and where you have treatment group rats with "treated rats"
- The author should strive as much as possible not to repeat the values that were already contained in the Tables and Figures
- The instruction for authors should be sort for guidance most especially for the format of referencing.

