

# Review of: "Implementation of e-learning during COVID-19"

Manish Dadhich<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Sir Padampat Singhania University

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

## Reviewer's Comments

Date: 10/04/2023

Greetings.

It is an honor to review the titled- " Implementation of e-learning during COVID-19". This paper's topic is critical, and I think the outcome would be an interesting study for the readers. The authors made an extraordinary effort, but a few useful suggestions can improve the research write-up. The following points can be considered for improving the paper before its acceptance for publication.

## Major Revision

1. The abstract needs to be strengthened. The introduction needs to review once again. It seems the copy from ChatGPT has no in-text citation, and flow is also absent.

The present manuscript has a weak structure, and the authors cannot support the conceptual framework with the support of the relevant theory based on the implementation of e-learning. Authors are requested to do an extensive literature review and may incorporate the following research paper based on the theme:

[doi.org/10.13052/jmm1550-4646.1841](https://doi.org/10.13052/jmm1550-4646.1841)

**doi:** [10.1109/CCGE50943.2021.9776386](https://doi.org/10.1109/CCGE50943.2021.9776386)

[doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10633-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10633-w)

2. The manuscript does not flow well; it lacks some background knowledge; research questions (RQ) are absent, and the order is poorly structured.

3. The authors are suggested to compare their findings with previous work, including results in favor and against, and also provide more insights on the difference between this work and the prior study.

4. The discussion should be rather organized around arguments avoiding simply describing details/ statistical results (accepting or rejecting hypotheses) without providing much meaning. A real discussion should also link the study's findings to theory and/or literature.
5. The authors should clearly mention the future scope, which could help the budding researcher explore the study.
7. There should be certain limitations in the study.
8. The average credibility of the sources and references is low.
9. The authors should justify the use of qualitative research.

My suggestion is to fully rewrite the paper to answer the following questions: (i) Why is this topic relevant, and what is known about it? (ii) Which gaps do you plan to address, and how do you problematize them? (iii) How do you plan to close/address those gaps? (iv) Explain the novelty and significance of energy consumption affecting the ecological footprint.