

Review of: "Online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic, lessons learned and what's next?"

Chiemela Victor Amaechi¹

1 Lancaster University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

The paper is good but there are many studies on lessons learnt that have not been included in this study, so the paper requires some revision. In my opinion, I did not understand if it is a report or an academic journal paper. Was it a review paper on other studies or was there a dataset that was used? There is a mix of 2 different styles of referencing in the intext citations, so make it uniform. What are the references for the "7P's (product, price, promotion, place, people, process, and physical evidence) of the marketing mix"? It is important because commonly known are the 4Ps (product, price, promotion, place) of the marketing mix. The paper also needs to present a structure that shows better work done. Is the author recommending a framework model for such epidemics or pandemics that could be adaptable? Also, some references that have done related works need to be added to the references too. Improve upon the introduction of the paper with these references to cover areas of COVID-19 pandemic on teaching, online learning, group learning and other sectors like construction. Add the following: https://doi.org/10.1108/JEDT-03-2021-0131;

https://doi.org/10.4236/cweee.2022.111003; https://doi.org/10.3390/su141912006;

https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12100699. Lastly, the conclusion section should cover the main highlights, the contributions which the paper makes to knowledge, the main findings of the study, the limitations of the study, and the areas of future research.

Qeios ID: MIRG17 · https://doi.org/10.32388/MIRG17