

# Review of: "A Cross-sectional Survey of Public and Private Cancer Care in Nigeria and Romania"

Cristina Oprean<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Timisoara

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Congratulation for the idea and work of this study. I have some comments and suggestion in order to make this paper more clear and useful for readers. Title: have contain the aim of the study Abstract and main text have to contain clearly the aim of the study and the reason of choosing the indirect comparative of this centers that for sure have a different medical assurance system, are located in the different countries and continents. Ethical consideration: it seems to be a prospective study, but is not clear at all. Details about inform consent and both institutions Ethical Committee are missing Methods: in the main text is stated two different periods for the completing the questionnaire: in the "method" section is stated 01.01.-28.02.2022 and in the " study setting" is other period: 01-31.01.2022,. This is confusing. Is the questionnaire used in this study validated? Why the authors chose this questionnaire? Results- should contain graphics of both institutions, not only one Conclusion have to be longer described and with clear statements. The conclusion and the aim of the study, the results are not in concordance References- most of them are old , from the past decade Recommendation: acceptance after major revisions