

Review of: "Political Transition in Sudan"

Cornelia A. Baciu¹

1 Copenhagen University

Potential competing interests: No potential competing interests to declare.

This article investigates the political transition in Sudan. It argues that there are both endogenous and exogeneous sources of instability. The article offers prima facie insights and useful empirics to addresses a matter that has been neglected by mainstream research. The article does a good job in discussing different factors of instability. However, there seems to be a mismatch between the factors stated in the abstract and those stated in the paper. The factors are first discussed quite late in the paper in sections 5 and 6, while the previous sections offer background information on Sudan. While the specific of Sudan are important, the paper would benefit from an integration of the empirical and historical data from sections 1-4 into section 5, which seems to be the focus on the paper. The paper would benefit from more conceptual depth, and engagement with the literature on political transition, highlighting the contribution of the paper to that literature. Thus, the paper requires further development and engagement with the literature. I am not sure what Qeios publishes, and what are the submission requirements, at the moment, the paper, while it is well written, it rather has the shape of a policy brief, and less as a research article.

Qeios ID: MKL1VP · https://doi.org/10.32388/MKL1VP