

# Review of: "Perceptions of Academic Dishonesty: Insights from the University of Tehran"

Evelyn Chiyevo Garwe

**Potential competing interests:** No potential competing interests to declare.

Here are some potential gaps identified in the manuscript:

## **Literature Review:**

- Expand the literature review to include international studies for a more comprehensive overview.
- Could benefit from more recent studies to provide a more up-to-date contextualization of findings.
- No theoretical framework is discussed, which could limit the analysis and interpretation of the results.

## **Methodology:**

- The methodology currently provides limited information about the sample, which makes it difficult to evaluate the representativeness of the findings.
- Details on questionnaire development/validation are brief, which could affect the quality and rigor of the study.

## **Results:**

- Statistical analyses could be strengthened by discussing assumptions of each test and post-hoc comparisons to locate specific differences.
- Data is presented in a form that could be difficult for some readers to understand. Consider including narrative summaries and examples to make the results more reader-friendly.

## **Discussion:**

- There is no discussion section to interpret the findings and consider their implications in more depth in relation to the literature.
- More could be said about addressing academic dishonesty and the limitations of the study, such as reliance on self-reported data and possible response bias.