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Basically, it is a bibliographical research.

The author has produced a very readable manuscript, covering an attractive subject. The text offered an interesting and extensive reference list. The work in the current shape already has value. However, some adjusts can help the author to bring the manuscript to a much higher level, in terms of quality and from the point of view of the scientific methodology. Here are some comments, suggestions, and points for improvement:

1. The manuscript does not mention the method with which the bibliographic research was carried out. The research in the scientific bases was not described. Thus, it is not possible to know whether relevant works on the theme were ignored. It is recommended to include a specific section in the manuscript, describing the bibliographic research in detail (scientific bases, keywords, filtering method, etc.).

2. The list of references, although quite interesting, appears to be out of date. A review of the literature would be welcome, with updates.

3. The language of the text is good, fluent, making good use of quotations. This style of writing should be maintained in future versions of the manuscript.

4. The conclusion must point to gaps in the literature, which will give the work an original character. This may be the author's great contribution, addressing to lines of continuity and future research.

The topic is interesting. The manuscript approach can evolve. It is worth the effort to transform this manuscript into a valuable article for academia, market, and industry.